

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,459	07/01/2003	Keith Robert Hildebrand	11738.00161	1626
22908 7:	590 02/10/2005		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			KENNEDY, SHARON E	
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60606		3762	
·			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/611,459	HILDEBRAND, KEITH ROBERT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sharon Kennedy	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		_					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		` .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>all</u> .	6) Other:	, , ,					

Application/Control Number: 10/611,459

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action or the MPEP.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-55 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,172. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the claims is directed to the method of treating tinnitus by inserting a catheter into the patient's cerebrospinal fluid and administering a drug formulation.

It is becoming common to delay filing the terminal disclaimer(s) until all the claims are indicated as allowable. However, this places a burden on the examiner and the USPTO to conduct additional paper processing, docketing, and examination.

Accordingly, applicant must file the terminal disclaimer(s) in response to this office action or supply substantive arguments against the double patenting rejection(s).

Claim Objections

Claim 56 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "formations" should be changed to --formulations--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 56, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Elsberry et al., US 5,711,316. Elsberry discloses a catheter adapted to be implanted into the cerebrospinal fluid in combination with a drug formulation such as baclofen. See column 2, line 21. Although Elsberry is for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, for example Parkinson's disease, the intended use of the system as recited in the preamble is not accorded much patentable weight.

Claims 56 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko, February 2000. Kaneko discloses intrathecally-administered gabapentin to inhibit formalin-evoked nociception. Although Kaneko is not for the treatment of tinnitus, the intended us of the system as recited in the preamble is not accorded much patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsberry '316. Elsberry discloses the system including drug formulations comprising GABA agonists. Elsberry does not specifically disclose gabapentin. Since gabapentin is a well-known GABA agonist, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use gabapentin with the Elsberry system since Elsberry specifically discloses the GABA agonist.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-55 would be allowed if a terminal disclaimer as required above were

filed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter. These claims mirror the claims allowed in the parent application, and allowed

for the reasons set forth therein.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-

4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571/272-4955.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained by going to

www.uspto.gov, clicking on "Status &IFW", entering the application number, and then

clicking on one of the tabs to retrieve the appropriate information.

Sharon Kennedy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3762

Sek